

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,683	12/08/2003	Art Bertolero	021063-000510US	3268
20350 7550 08718/20099 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			EXAMINER	
			HOPKINS, CHRISTINE D	
EIGHTH FLO SAN FRANCI	OR SCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3735	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/731.683 BERTOLERO ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit CHRISTINE D. HOPKINS 3735 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 August 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 20-29.32-40.63 and 69 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 24-29.35 and 36 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 20-23,32-34, 37-40,63 and 69 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date __

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/731,683

Art Unit: 3735

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed 10 August 2009. Claims 20-29, 32-40, 63 and 69 are now pending. Claims 24-29, 35 and 36 remain withdrawn due to the double patenting rejection. The Examiner acknowledges the amendments to claims 20, 24-28, 32, 35 and 36, as well as the cancellation of claims 41-61, 67, 68, 70 and 71. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 20 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double
patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 6 and 38 of Haarstad (U.S. Patent No.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,683

Art Unit: 3735

7,338,434) in view of Taylor et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0099270). Haardstad discloses a heart stabilizing device having a tissue contacting surface and a suction aperture; a first coupling device having an elongate shaft and a wire; and means for coupling the elongate shaft with the heart stabilizing device (see claims 1, 6 and 38). Claim 1 of Haarstad does not expressly disclose a retractor or a first flexible arm, however Haarstad does teach the use of a sternal or rib retractor (col. 28, lines 59-63), and a flexible arm for coupling the first coupling device to a stable object (col. 18, lines 57-67). Taylor discloses a system for enhancing heart surgery comprising: a retractor device; a heart stabilizing device having a tissue contacting surface and a suction aperture; a first coupling device for coupling with the heart stabilizing device comprising an elongate shaft and a "first flexible arm" or adjustable slide mechanism/arm for continusously adjusting the shaft relative to a stable object ([0203]-[0204]). Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated a retractor mechanism for access to the heart and a flexible arm for adjusting movement of the shaft which positions the heart stabilizing device as taught by Taylor, in a system for providing heart surgery as suggested by Haarstad in order to easily access and manipulate heart tissue.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTINE D. HOPKINS whose telephone number is Application/Control Number: 10/731,683

Art Unit: 3735

(571)272-9058. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7 a.m.-3:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor, II can be reached on (571) 272-4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Charles A. Marmor, II/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 3735

/C. D. H./ Christine D Hopkins Examiner Art Unit 3735